Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/532,894	MITAMA, MASATAKA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	THUAN T. NGUYEN	2685
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>THUAN T. NGUYEN</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>JAMES HOWARD</u> .	. ,	
Date of Interview: 28 June 2005.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 20</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Thompson & Toya</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	ı)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The Toya reference shows the transmitter, yet it does not provide bi-directional communication to the handset. The Examiner would update search in response to this feature</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
,		
		MGUYEN EXAMINER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required